RECEIVED

NOV 21 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

GAMY R. WARSON

Address

1007 LEASOR AUE

LOUISVICE, K94021=

RECEIVED

NOV 2 1 2012

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 - Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and RVICE Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

Jim Diger

oursalle Ky 40203

NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

Clay Morton

Songcast6 Ave



NOV 2 1 2012
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

PÚBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 - Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

1

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge, LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency:
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature /

Name Christylor Price
Address 1611 Alington Thence

NOTE "40206"

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 21 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Star Gent

Address

53 Beals By Ro

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 2 1 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature AUM M MORE

Name SUSUN M MORE

40205

NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

40204

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 21 2012
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

RECEIVED
NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

oignature

Name

NOV 2 1 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. -- the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

s 24

3 North Uifto Ave

Louisville Ky 40206

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 21 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 - Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Brent Peters

Name

Address 2651 Cleveland Blud. Louiswille, KY 40206

RECEIVED

NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency:
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature	Bob Bronner
Name	BOB BROWNER
Address	10213 BROWN HURST CT
	Louisville, Ky 40241

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 2 1 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Name

Laurean Bronner Address 10213 Brown hurst Ct



NOV 21 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours

Signature

Name

DAVID THANSON

Address

1330 CHELOKEE RD

LOUISMUE Ky 40204

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 2 1 2012

**PUBLIC SERVICE** COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 - Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times. LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

LOVIGUIL , MY GORDY

NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

lress

Biers

. Shelby St

Lou KY 4/02/7

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 21 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times. LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Name

Address

HC-1 Louisville, Ky 40205

NOV 2 1 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Marshall

Name

larra Pearlinen

Address

203 Landerale Ve

NOV 2 1 2012
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature /

Name

**PUBLIC SERVICE** COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 - Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

NOV 2 1 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Name

Signature

RECEIVED

NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 - Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Name William F. Kett Address 774 Loganst. Louisville; Ky 4004



RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature •

Name

Address

Kimberly A Watson

Avenue

Lousville, KY 40217



RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

Louisville, KY 40217

Service in Amanda Seaver

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 21 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE

COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Address

Name

3253 Beals Branch Rd.

NOV 2 1 2012 **PUBLIC SERVICE** COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012-00222 -- Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours.

Name Deborch A Massey Eyre

Address Hor Cheroku Pk

Winn Ky 40204

NOV 2 1 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

RECEIVE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature <u>Luke Sprague</u>

Name <u>Luke Sprague</u>

Address <u>1841 Rognoke Ave</u>

<u>Apt #8 Loursville</u>, KY



NOV 21 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature Photol Johnson

Name Richard Johnston

Address 1516 Avril Place

40205



RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signaturé

Name

Address

9

RECEIVED

NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours

Signature

Name

Address

- ANDREW YEARSON

WOOD AVE



RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy:
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

NOV 21 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

NOV 21 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

100 KY

40291

NOV 2 1 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

Hon 3

Jessica Mel

Indian Oaks Cir

ous ville KV 40219

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 21 2012
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature <u>Deaumou Mill</u>

Name

Deanna Mallard scruce lisha Moore

Address

1 MISVILLO, KY 40220



NOV 21 2012 **PUBLIC SERVICE** COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Name

Smaln Envestran 2002 Pellythinger

NOV 2 1 2012

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Pum Purvid

Address

144 19 Struct

TO: Commissioners
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Blvd.
Frankfort, KY 40601
Fax 502-564-3460

NOV 2 1 2012 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

(Service under Even Patrick)

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours

Signature

Name

Corbin Set

1144 1st street

Louisville, Rx 40203



RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name

Address

pabrena Spencer

Lou. Kg. 40213

TO: Commissioners Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd. Frankfort, KY 40601 RECEIVED

NOV 2 1 2012

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RE: Case No. 2012–00222 – Opposition to LG & E's Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

Dear Commissioners:

Fax 502-564-3460

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

Very truly yours,

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Signature

Name

Address

try Clark

Jon., KY 40205

NOV 2 1 2012
PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

RE: Case No. **2012–00222** – Opposition to **LG & E's** Proposed Rate Increases and Improper Structure

#### Dear Commissioners:

I am a residential customer of LG&E. I write to oppose LG&E's rate increases on electric and gas service. Present rates are fair, just and reasonable. In these difficult times, LG&E already enjoys a secure and generous rate of return on its capital.

If any increase is due, I oppose increasing the monthly service charge. LG&E wants to raise the monthly electric service charge by 53% (from \$8.50 to \$13.00) and the kWh price by only 3.7% (from 7.242 cents to 7.513 cents).

It wants to raise the monthly gas service charge by 24% (from \$12.50 to \$15.50) and lower the CCF (hundred cubic feet) price by 6.4% (from \$.62023 to \$.58025).

Any rate increase should be put on the unit of energy ("volumetric pricing"), not the monthly service charge. LG&E already enjoys a monopoly and guaranteed profit. It doesn't need a higher monthly service charge. Increasing the monthly base charge instead of the kWh or CCF price:

- Unfairly and unjustly lowers the returns of prior private investors in efficiency;
- Unreasonably discourages future private investments in efficiency;
- Unreasonably rewards wasteful users of energy;
- Unjustly and unfairly impacts those who use energy sparingly (i.e. the poor, the elderly and the efficiency-minded), and;
- Unreasonably impairs deployment of renewables and distributed generation.

In short, LG&E's proposed structure is bad public policy. It's also unnecessary - a utility with grant of monopoly and guaranteed profit need not employ such a structure. I ask the Commission to deny it, either after hearing or in any proposed settlement.

Very truly yours,

Signature

Name